

# Introduction to linear control theory

Lecture notes, Shandong University

GUILLAUME OLIVE<sup>1</sup>

March 16, 2017

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: [math.golive@gmail.com](mailto:math.golive@gmail.com)



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Controllability of time-invariant linear O.D.E.s</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	5
1.2	Duality . . . . .	8
1.3	Conditions of controllability . . . . .	9
1.3.1	Gramian of controllability . . . . .	9
1.3.2	Kalman rank condition . . . . .	10
	<b>References</b>	<b>10</b>



# Chapter 1

## Controllability of time-invariant linear O.D.E.s

### 1.1 Introduction

In this chapter we focus on the  $n \times n$  time-invariant linear O.D.E.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}y &= Ay + Bu, \quad t \in (0, T), \\ y(0) &= y^0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where:

- $T > 0$  is a given time called time of control,
- $y^0 = (y_1^0, \dots, y_n^0)$  is the initial data,
- $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is the state,
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a matrix that couples the equations of the system,
- $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$  are at our disposal, they are the so-called controls,
- $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is a matrix that localizes the controls.

We recall that (1.1) is well-posed: for every  $y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $u \in L^2(0, T)^m$ , there exists a unique solution  $y \in H^1(0, T)^n$  to the system (1.1) given by the Duhamel's formula

$$y(t) = e^{tA}y^0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}Bu(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

Note in particular that

$$y \in C^0([0, T])^n,$$

which is crucial to define the different notions of controllability. Finally, note that

$$\|y(t)\| \leq C (\|y^0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T)^m}), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $C > 0$  that does not depend on  $y^0$  nor on  $u$ .

**Definition 1.1.1** (Controllability). We say that the system (1.1) is:

- (i) exactly controllable in time  $T$  if, for every  $y^0, y^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $u \in L^2(0, T)^m$  such that the corresponding solution  $y$  to system (1.1) satisfies

$$y(T) = y^1.$$

- (ii) null-controllable in time  $T$  if the above property holds for  $y^1 = 0$ .

- (iii) approximately controllable in time  $T$  if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $y^0, y^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $u \in L^2(0, T)^m$  such that the corresponding solution  $y$  to system (1.1) satisfies

$$\|y(T) - y^1\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

**Example 1.1.2.** If  $m = n$  and  $B = \text{Id}$ , then (1.1) is exactly controllable in time  $T$  for every  $T > 0$ . Indeed, it is enough to take any smooth function  $y$  with  $y(0) = y^0$  and  $y(T) = y^1$  and set  $u = \frac{d}{dt}y - Ay$ .

*Remark 1.1.3.* Clearly, exact controllability in time  $T$  implies null and approximate controllability in the same time  $T$ .

*Remark 1.1.4.* Let us consider the nonhomogeneous system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}y &= Ay + Bu + f(t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\ y(0) &= y^0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $f \in L^2(0, T)^n$ . Then, we can define the corresponding notions of controllability exactly as in Definition 1.1.1, where instead  $y$  is now the solution to (1.4). It turns out that, if (1.1) is exactly controllable in time  $T$ , then (1.4) is exactly controllable in time  $T$  for every  $f \in L^2(0, T)^n$  (the converse being obvious, we see that it is enough to only study the exact controllability of (1.1)). Indeed, firstly we consider the nonhomogeneous free system (that is without controls):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\bar{y} &= A\bar{y} + f(t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\ \bar{y}(0) &= y^0, \end{cases}$$

and then we take a control that steers in time  $T$  the solution to (1.1) from 0 to  $y^1 - \bar{y}(T)$ .

Let us now reformulate the different notions of controllability. To this goal we introduce the linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} F_T &: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ y^0 &\longmapsto \bar{y}(T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{y}$  is the solution to the free system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\bar{y} = A\bar{y}, & t \in (0, T), \\ \bar{y}(0) = y^0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_T &: L^2(0, T)^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ u &\longmapsto \hat{y}(T), \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\hat{y}$  is the solution to the nonhomogeneous system with zero initial data:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{y} = A\hat{y} + Bu, & t \in (0, T), \\ \hat{y}(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

With these notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(T) &= \bar{y}(T) + \hat{y}(T) \\ &= F_T y^0 + G_T u, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where  $y$  is the solution to (1.1). It follows that:

(i) (1.1) is exactly controllable in time  $T$  if, and only if,

$$\text{Im } G_T = \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.7}$$

(ii) (1.1) is null-controllable in time  $T$  if, and only if,

$$\text{Im } F_T \subset \text{Im } G_T. \tag{1.8}$$

(iii) (1.1) is approximately controllable in time  $T$  if, and only if,

$$\overline{\text{Im } G_T} = \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.9}$$

where  $\overline{\text{Im } G_T}$  denotes the closure of the set  $\text{Im } G_T$ .

As a consequence of these reformulations we see that all the notions of controllability are equivalent for the finite dimensional system (1.1):

**PROPOSITION 1.1.5.** *Let  $T > 0$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) (1.1) is exactly controllable in time  $T$ .

(ii) (1.1) is null-controllable in time  $T$ .

(iii) (1.1) is approximately controllable in time  $T$ .

Therefore, from now on, we shall only say "controllable in time  $T$ ".

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Im } F_T = \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is clear that (1.7) and (1.8) are equivalent. On the other hand, (1.7) and (1.9) are clearly equivalent since  $\text{Im } G_T$  is a finite dimensional subspace and therefore it is closed.  $\square$

*Remark 1.1.6.* We arbitrarily chose to consider controls which are in  $L^2(0, T)^m$  but let us mention that we can actually consider any dense subspace of  $L^2(0, T)^m$  as control set. Indeed, for any subspace  $V \subset L^2(0, T)^m$ , we have

$$\text{Im } G_{T|V} \subset \overline{\text{Im } G_{T|V}} = \text{Im } G_T,$$

where the inclusion holds because  $G_T$  is continuous (see (1.3)) and the equality holds because  $\text{Im } G_{T|V}$  is finite dimensional. In particular, if there exists a control which is barely in  $L^2(0, T)^m$ , then there exists as well a control which is smooth, say in  $C_c^\infty(0, T)^m$ .

## 1.2 Duality

Since  $G_T \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(0, T)^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$  thanks to (1.3), we have

$$\overline{\text{Im } G_T} = \mathbb{R}^n \iff \ker G_T^* = \{0\}. \quad (1.10)$$

Thus, we want compute  $G_T^*$ . To this end we introduce the so-called adjoint system of (1.1), that is

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dt}z &= A^*z, \quad t \in (0, T), \\ z(T) &= z^1, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, multiplying (1.1) by  $z$  and integrating by parts we obtain the following fundamental relation:

$$y(T) \cdot z^1 - y^0 \cdot z(0) = \int_0^T u(t) \cdot B^*z(t) dt, \quad (1.12)$$

valid for every  $y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u \in L^2(0, T)^m$ . In (1.12) and in the sequel,  $\cdot$  denotes the inner product (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ). Thanks to (1.12) we readily see that

$$G_T^* : \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow L^2(0, T)^m \\ z^1 \longmapsto B^*z. \end{array} \quad (1.13)$$

Using (1.10), we have obtained the following fundamental result:



**THEOREM 1.2.1** (Duality). (1.1) is controllable in time  $T$  if, and only if,

$$\forall z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \left( B^* z(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \right) \implies z^1 = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

*Remark 1.2.2.* Clearly, (1.14) is equivalent to

$$\forall z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \left( B^* \tilde{z}(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \right) \implies z^1 = 0,$$

where  $\tilde{z}(t) = z(T - t)$ . But  $\tilde{z}$  is analytic on  $(0, +\infty)$ . Thus, (1.14) holds if, and only if,

$$\forall z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \left( B^* \tilde{z}(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty) \right) \implies z^1 = 0.$$

Therefore, the controllability of (1.1) does not depend on the time of control  $T$ . In other words, if there exists  $T > 0$  such that (1.1) is controllable in time  $T$ , then, for every  $T > 0$ , (1.1) is controllable in time  $T$ . For this reason, in the sequel we shall only say that (1.1) is "controllable".

*Remark 1.2.3.* The strength of the duality is that it reduces the task of proving an existence result (existence of a control) to the task of proving a uniqueness result, which is often easier to handle.

## 1.3 Conditions of controllability

### 1.3.1 Gramian of controllability

**THEOREM 1.3.1.** Let  $T > 0$ . (1.1) is controllable if, and only if, the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$\Lambda_T = \int_0^T e^{(T-t)A} B B^* e^{(T-t)A^*} dt, \quad (1.15)$$

is invertible.  $\Lambda_T$  is called the Gramian of controllability or HUM operator.

*Remark 1.3.2.* Note that  $\Lambda_T$  is always symmetric and positive semi-definite. In particular, it is invertible if, and only if, it is positive definite. Now observe that  $\Lambda_T$  is positive definite if, and only if, there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that

$$\|z^1\|^2 \leq C_T^2 \int_0^T \|B^* z(t)\|^2 dt, \quad \forall z^1 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.16)$$

This inequality is called observability inequality and the best constant  $C_T > 0$  in (1.16) is called the control cost. We shall come back to this notion later on in Section ??.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2.1, (1.1) is controllable if, and only if,

$$\ker G_T^* = \{0\}.$$

Clearly, this is equivalent to

$$\ker G_T G_T^* = \{0\}.$$

By definition of  $G_T$  (see (1.5)) and computation of  $G_T^*$  (see (1.13)) we readily see that  $G_T G_T^* = \Lambda_T$ .  $\square$

### 1.3.2 Kalman rank condition

**LEMMA 1.3.3.** *For every  $T > 0$ , we have*

$$\ker G_T^* = (\text{Im } (B|AB|\cdots|A^{n-1}B))^\perp.$$

# References

- [Boy17] Franck Boyer, *Controllability of parabolic pdes: old and new*, 2017, [https://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~fboyer/\\_media/enseignements/m2\\_lecture\\_notes\\_fboyer.pdf](https://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~fboyer/_media/enseignements/m2_lecture_notes_fboyer.pdf).
- [Cor07] J.-M. Coron, *Control and nonlinearity*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 136, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. MR 2302744 (2008d:93001)
- [LM67] E. B. Lee and L. Markus, *Foundations of optimal control theory*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1967. MR 0220537
- [Sei88] Thomas I. Seidman, *How violent are fast controls?*, Math. Control Signals Systems **1** (1988), no. 1, 89–95. MR 923278
- [Son98] Eduardo D. Sontag, *Mathematical control theory*, second ed., Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 6, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, Deterministic finite-dimensional systems. MR 1640001
- [TW09] M. Tucsnak and G. Weiss, *Observation and control for operator semigroups*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. MR 2502023
- [Zab08] Jerzy Zabczyk, *Mathematical control theory*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, An introduction, Reprint of the 1995 edition. MR 2348543 (2008e:49001)